
AN INVESTIGATION INTO THE TWO HISTORIES OF THE POSTWAR ORDER

HISTORY. FAIRYTALE TIME FOR THE PUBLIC.

The Documented History of How the Useful Elements of the Third Reich Were Welcomed Into the Western Alliance — and How Courts Are Still Trying to Keep You From Learning About It.

COMPILED FROM DECLASSIFIED CIA, OSS, NARA, AND BND RECORDS | CIA-RDP62-00865R000300030004-4 | FDR LIBRARY
PSF000813 | NARA FO 371 SERIES | OVERTON MAGAZIN, JUNE 2, 2026

Published: June 2026

Two histories of the world after 1945 exist in the documentary record. One was constructed for public circulation. It features the Nuremberg trials as moral reckoning, the Nuremberg Doctors' Code as ethical renewal, the Cold War as necessary vigilance against a new evil, and the Western Alliance as the institutional expression of democratic values. It is the history in school textbooks, in presidential speeches, in the official commemorations at the gates of former concentration camps. It is, in the precise operational sense of the word, the permitted history. It was designed to be believed. The men who designed it understood something essential: a willing, compliant workforce and a willing, compliant fighting force require a story. Without the story, the arrangement becomes visible. And the arrangement, once visible, ends.

The other history is in the files. Not in speculation or inference, but in declassified CIA documents, NARA archive series, suppressed BND records, Nuremberg trial transcripts, congressional testimony, genealogical records, and the pleadings of a German journalist before the Federal Administrative Court in Leipzig on June 4, 2026. The other history shows that the men who administered the permitted history knew what they were doing. The OSS document produced before German surrender, in the spring of 1945, states it as operational policy: the knowledge of post-defeat plans must be limited to the smallest possible number, purposely, to avoid the impression that would arise if their existence became generally known. Secrecy was not a byproduct. It was the architecture.

The permitted history required specific productions. Nuremberg was the most important. It established that crimes had been committed, that the criminals had been identified, that justice had been done, and that a new ethical framework — the Nuremberg Doctors' Code, the Nuremberg principles — had been erected to prevent repetition. The public watched. The public believed. In the other history, the man the Doctors' Code was written

to stop — Kurt Blome, who ran Nazi biological warfare under the cover of cancer research and experimented on prisoners — was contacted by the US Army Chemical Corps forty-two days after his acquittal and subsequently contracted by the same institution. The Code was promulgated. The experiments continued.

The permitted history required a successor threat. Without a new enemy, the institutional structures built around the old one — the secrecy, the classified budgets, the intelligence services, the military buildups, the suspension of normal accountability — could not be maintained. Communism served this function with precision. In the other history, the CIA document of July 1953 shows that the Argentine Nazi network itself understood this dynamic and debated which side — West or Soviet — would better serve German revanchism. Former Nazis in Buenos Aires, in Madrid, in Cairo were not committed anti-Communists. They were opportunists. The threat scenario was real enough. Its function in maintaining secrecy and suppressing accountability was the point.

This investigation is from the other history. It documents, from primary sources, the connections between the man who built America's largest mustard gas factory, his son-in-law who freed the Nazi chemical warfare criminals from Landsberg Prison, the Zinsser family member who married the first West German Chancellor, the son who sat on the board of the pharmaceutical company whose president ran the biological warfare program, the Argentine escape infrastructure the CIA was mapping in 1953 while officially unaware of Eichmann's location, and the German government's active suppression of the documents that record what its intelligence service knew and when it knew it. The permitted history has a Leipzig courthouse scene too. In that version, Germany confronts its past. In the other history, the Kanzleramt is in court in 2026 to prevent a journalist from reading files that are sixty-six years old.

On June 4, 2026, in a courtroom in Leipzig, a German journalist named Gaby Weber appeared before the Federal Administrative Court to argue that the German government was breaking its own laws. The case concerned a set of files held by the Bundesnachrichtendienst — the BND, Germany's foreign intelligence service — relating to the 1960 capture of Adolf Eichmann, the SS officer who organized the deportation of millions of Jews to the Nazi extermination camps. Sixty-six years had passed since Eichmann was seized from a Buenos Aires street by Israeli Mossad agents. Germany's own archive law sets an absolute sixty-year maximum on the classification of federal records. The law does not allow extensions.

The BND had extended anyway. The German Chancellor's Office — the Bundeskanzleramt — filed what is known in German administrative law as a Sperrerklärung, a blocking declaration, arguing that disclosure would harm the well-being of the Federal Republic. A specialized court panel, the Fachsenat, reviewed the matter in closed session and agreed. It did not cite the relevant paragraphs of the archive law. It did not examine the original documents. It worked from copies selected and provided by the BND itself. Weber's attorney, Raphael Thomas, who had filed the suit in 2020, died one week before the hearing. The case proceeded.

The question before the Leipzig court was narrow in its legal formulation and vast in its historical implication: Can a government invoke institutional self-interest to override a statutory time limit? But the documents Weber had already obtained — the roughly eighty percent of the BND Eichmann file released through

a decade of litigation — told a story that explained exactly why the Kanzleramt was fighting so hard to keep the remainder sealed.

"A BND informant reported that Eichmann, in discussions with his own lawyer, had named the still-living co-responsible persons — among them Six, Veessenmeyer, Rademacher, and Globke — who were all no less responsible than he for executing orders."

Hans Globke was, at the moment those words were recorded, the Chief of Staff of the German Chancellery. He served Chancellor Konrad Adenauer. He had drafted the legal commentary to the 1936 Nuremberg racial laws. And Eichmann's lawyer, Robert Servatius, the man to whom Eichmann made these statements, had been a paid informant of the BND since 1955 — five years before the Mossad took Eichmann from Argentine soil. The BND, which was Adenauer's intelligence service, knew what Eichmann was saying about his chancellor's chief of staff. It knew because it was paying the man's own attorney to tell it.

This is not a story about one file in one archive. It is a story about the architecture of institutional forgetting — how a network of intelligence relationships, corporate arrangements, scientific recruitments, political protections, and legal maneuvers was constructed across eight decades to welcome the useful elements of the Third Reich into the structures of the Cold War West, while keeping the public from understanding that this is what happened. It begins in a chemistry laboratory in Heidelberg in 1886. It ends, unresolved, in a Leipzig courtroom in 2026.

PART I

The Heidelberg Inheritance

The weapon that would become the most feared chemical agent of the First World War was first synthesized by a professor at Heidelberg University. In 1886, Viktor Meyer produced what chemists would eventually call sulfur mustard — a viscous, oily compound that blisters skin on contact, destroys lung tissue, and blinds its victims. Meyer published his synthesis. The world was not yet paying attention.

Among Meyer's graduate students at Heidelberg was a young German-American chemist named Frederick G. Zinsser. He worked in Meyer's laboratory for three years. He absorbed the chemistry of sulfur compounds with the thoroughness of a man who intends to use what he learns. In 1897, Zinsser returned to the United States and founded Zinsser and Company on the waterfront of Hastings-on-Hudson, New York — a chemical manufacturing firm that would, two decades later, build the largest mustard gas production facility in the world.

When the United States entered the First World War in 1917, the Army's Chemical Warfare Service needed to match German gas production. It turned to private contractors. In August 1918, the government contracted

with Zinsser's company and with the National Aniline and Dye Company of Buffalo, New York, to produce mustard gas in conjunction with the Edgewood Arsenal in Maryland. The Zinsser plant at Edgewood Hastings was designed for a daily production capacity of seventy-five tons. The Edgewood Maryland facility could produce one hundred tons per day. The Buffalo plant, fifty tons. At peak capacity, the American facilities could produce more than two hundred tons of mustard gas daily. Germany's maximum production never exceeded six tons per day.

The armistice came in November 1918 before the Zinsser plant reached full production. But the Edgewood Arsenal did not close. It became the permanent institutional center of American chemical warfare research — the hub through which chemical knowledge would flow for the next six decades, eventually absorbing the formulas for Nazi nerve agents, testing hundreds of compounds on American soldiers who had not been told what they were inhaling, and generating — as an unintended institutional byproduct — the medical discovery that would become chemotherapy.

"The knowledge that created modern cancer treatment was classified military information, derived from the study of mass casualties. The man who brought it to medicine was simultaneously the head of the Army's chemical warfare medical division."

PART II

The Family at the Center

What the Wikipedia biography of Frederick G. Zinsser records, in its spare encyclopedic language, is something that reframes every institutional connection documented in this investigation. The man who built the largest mustard gas production facility in the United States, and who served simultaneously as a colonel in the Chemical Warfare Service at Edgewood Arsenal as assistant to its commander William Walker, was the patriarch of a family whose connections to the subsequent history of this story are not metaphorical or circumstantial but direct, genealogical, and documented in the public record.

Zinsser's daughter Ellen married John J. McCloy. Wikipedia identifies McCloy as the chief lawyer for the Rockefeller and the Seven Sisters oil interests, member of the Warren Commission, and adviser to nine US presidents. The biography does not note, though the record of this research does, that McCloy as US High Commissioner for Germany in January 1951 released Otto Ambros from Landsberg Prison, reduced the sentences of seventy-four of the one hundred and four Nuremberg convicts, and freed the IG Farben executive corps whose companies were reorganized into Bayer, BASF, and Hoechst. The daughter of the man who built America's largest mustard gas factory married the man who freed the men who ran the nerve agent and slave labor operations at Auschwitz.

SOURCE: WIKIPEDIA, FREDERICK G. ZINSER

His daughter Ellen married John J. McCloy, the chief lawyer for the Rockefeller and the Seven Sisters interests, member of the Warren Commission, and advisor to nine US presidents. His son John became vice chairman of the board of Merck and Co. of Rahway, New Jersey and president of Sharp and Dohme Inc. of Philadelphia and was on the board of directors of the investment bank JP Morgan in New York during the 1940s.

Zinsser's son John entered the pharmaceutical industry. He became vice chairman of the board of Merck and Company of Rahway, New Jersey, and president of Sharp and Dohme Inc. of Philadelphia. He sat on the board of directors of JP Morgan during the 1940s. George W. Merck, president of the same Merck and Company on whose board John Zinsser served as vice chairman, was simultaneously the head of the United States War Research Service, the federal government's biological warfare program headquartered at Fort Detrick, Maryland. The son of the man who built the mustard gas factory sat on the board of the pharmaceutical company whose president was running the American biological warfare program.

Zinsser's daughter Margaret, known as Peggy, married Lewis Williams Douglas, whom the biography identifies as scion of one of the most powerful families in Arizona and sole heir of the Phelps Dodge copper mining fortune, who succeeded Harriman as ambassador to London. Averell Harriman was the Democratic official who met with Josef Retinger in Washington in 1952 when Retinger came to America to secure CIA backing for what would become the Bilderberg Group. CIA Director Walter Bedell Smith reportedly said on that occasion: Why the hell didn't you come to me in the first place? The man who succeeded Harriman as British ambassador was Zinsser's son-in-law.

The father-in-law ran the mustard gas factory. His son-in-law released the men who ran the nerve agent factory at Auschwitz. A family member by another branch was the Chancellor those men advised. His son sat on the board of the company whose president ran the biological warfare program.

The Adenauer Connection

Then there is the connection the family tree makes explicit and that transforms every preceding paragraph. In the same Zinsser family, descended from Wilhelm Zinsser of Ober-Florsheim in Hessen, there was a woman named Auguste Amalie Julie Zinsser, born December 7, 1895, in Cologne. She became the second wife of Konrad Adenauer, the future first Chancellor of the Federal Republic of Germany, in 1919. She lived with him at his home in Rhondorf on the Rhine. She died there on March 3, 1948, at the age of fifty-two, two years before Adenauer became Chancellor and three years before his wife's family member McCloy would release Otto Ambros from Landsberg Prison to become Adenauer's own economic adviser.

The family tree confirms both connections in a single document: Ellen (Zinsser) McCloy, born April 20, 1897, in Greenburgh, Westchester, New York, and Auguste Amalie Julie (Zinsser) Adenauer, born December 7, 1895, in Cologne, are members of the same Zinsser family descended from the same patriarch. McCloy and Adenauer, the American proconsul who managed West German reconstruction and the German Chancellor who directed it, were connected not only through Cold War geopolitics but through the family of the man who had

built America's largest mustard gas plant.

The institutional loop this research has documented is not merely a series of Cold War policy alignments. In its core relationships it is a family structure. Zinsser builds the mustard gas plant and serves as Chemical Warfare Service colonel at Edgewood Arsenal. His son John sits on the board of Merck, whose president George W. Merck runs the biological warfare program using the same institutional infrastructure. His daughter Ellen's husband McCloy releases the Nazi chemical warfare scientists from Landsberg. Those scientists advise Adenauer, a Zinsser connection by a different branch. Adenauer's intelligence service pays Eichmann's lawyer to report on his client. Eichmann names Adenauer's chief of staff as co-responsible. The Kanzleramt suppresses the record in 2026, past its statutory expiration.

PART III

The Classified Cure

On December 2, 1943, German bombers attacked the harbor at Bari, on the Adriatic coast of Italy. Among the Allied ships destroyed was the SS John Harvey, which was secretly carrying a cargo of mustard gas munitions — its presence in the harbor classified, its contents known to almost no one. When the ship exploded, it released mustard agent into the water and the air. The Allied command denied that chemical weapons were involved. Hundreds of sailors and civilians died from what their doctors could not officially diagnose.

The Allied military sent a physician named Lieutenant Colonel Stewart Alexander to investigate. Alexander had been working at the Medical Research Laboratory of the Edgewood Arsenal, studying chemical warfare agents. He recognized what he saw. Going through the medical case sheets and pathology reports of the survivors, he found a pattern: the white blood cell counts of the most severely exposed patients had collapsed on the third or fourth day after the attack. Bone marrow suppression. Lymphoid destruction. Alexander wrote a classified report. It was immediately sealed.

Alexander's superior in the Chemical Warfare Service's medical division was a man named Cornelius P. Rhoads. Rhoads held an unusual position in American medicine. He was simultaneously the director of Memorial Hospital's cancer research program in New York, a senior officer of the Chemical Warfare Service, and a protégé of the Rockefeller Institute. He read Alexander's classified Bari report. He already had access to another set of classified data: the results of a Yale University research program that had been running since 1942.

At Yale, pharmacologists Louis Goodman and Alfred Gilman had been contracted by the federal government's Office of Scientific Research and Development to study nitrogen mustard — a chemical relative of the sulfur mustard that Zinsser had produced. Because the research was classified, the compound was referred to only as Substance X. In laboratory studies, Substance X caused lymphoma tumors in mice to shrink dramatically. In August 1942, a patient with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma identified only as J.D. received the first intravenous

injection of Substance X in human history. His tumors regressed. The results were stunning and remained classified for four years.

SOURCE: YALE UNIVERSITY / OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, 1942

Substance X — nitrogen mustard — caused lymphoma tumors in mice and rabbits to shrink dramatically. The compound was referred to only as 'Substance X' because the research was classified. Results were not published until 1946.

Rhoads held both streams of classified information simultaneously: the Bari disaster data showing that mustard agent destroyed white blood cells, and the Yale data showing that nitrogen mustard could be used therapeutically to destroy cancerous ones. On August 7, 1945 — the day the world learned that an atomic bomb had been dropped on Hiroshima — Rhoads announced the founding of the Memorial Sloan Kettering Institute for Cancer Research, backed by General Motors chairman Alfred P. Sloan and GM engineer Charles F. Kettering. In 1949, the FDA approved the first chemotherapy drug: mechlorethamine, trade name Mustargen, derived directly from the nitrogen mustard research that had been conducted under military secrecy.

The founder of the most celebrated cancer research institution in the United States was, simultaneously, the chief medical officer of the Chemical Warfare Service, a consultant to the Atomic Energy Commission, and a man who in 1931, while employed by the Rockefeller Institute in Puerto Rico, had written a letter claiming to have deliberately transplanted cancer cells into Puerto Rican patients without their knowledge. 'I have done my best to further the interests of science and to advance the program of the Rockefeller Institute,' Rhoads wrote in the letter, which was discovered and published. A 1932 letter from the Puerto Rican governor to the Rockefeller Foundation's associate director stated that Rhoads had written a second letter, 'even worse than the first,' which the government had suppressed and destroyed.

A Rockefeller public relations campaign cleared Rhoads. No prosecution followed. He went on to direct Sloan Kettering, advise the Atomic Energy Commission on radiation medicine, and hold the classified knowledge — derived from the Chemical Warfare Service's mustard gas research — that created modern oncology. This is not a footnote. It is the institutional biography of the man who linked chemical warfare to cancer treatment, protected throughout by the same Rockefeller network that had, in parallel, funded the Kaiser Wilhelm Institute for eugenics research in Nazi Germany.

PART IV

The Mirror: Cancer Research as Cover

On the other side of the war, the Third Reich was running a program that mirrored the Allied arrangement with perfect, grotesque precision. Kurt Blome, the deputy Reich Health Leader, held the title of Plenipotentiary for Cancer Research. In 1942, Blome received orders from Reichsmarschall Hermann Goering to take over all biological warfare research. The cover would be cancer research. The purpose would be the development of

biological weapons.

Blome said as much at Nuremberg. 'In 1943 I received orders from Goering for all the research of Biological Warfare,' he testified. 'All the research for BW would fall under the name Krebsforschung — cancer research. Cancer research had already started long before that, and I was already working all the time, but in order to keep this development secret, the Reich disguised it.' Blome worked on aerosol dispersants for nerve agents, on methods of spraying Tabun and Sarin from aircraft, and on biological warfare experiments conducted on Soviet prisoners of war and concentration camp inmates on the orders of Heinrich Himmler.

Blome was acquitted at the Nuremberg Doctors' Trial in 1947. The grounds for acquittal were contested; the evidence was extensive. Forty-two days after the verdict, a message classified Secret-Confidential arrived from Heidelberg on the desk of the chief of the United States Army Chemical Corps. It read: 'Available now for interrogation on biological warfare matters is Doctor Kurt Blome.' The Army's specialists at Edgewood Arsenal and at Fort Detrick — the same Fort Detrick that George W. Merck of the Merck pharmaceutical company had established as the center of the American biological warfare program — traveled to meet him.

Blome was subsequently contracted by the United States Department of Defense. He worked for the US Army Chemical Corps and, according to declassified records, for the CIA's MKULTRA human experimentation program. The man who had run Nazi biological warfare under the cover of cancer research was absorbed into the American institution that was simultaneously testing nerve agents on six thousand, seven hundred and twenty American soldiers at Edgewood Arsenal — soldiers who had not been informed of what they were being given.

"The Nuremberg Doctors' Code was promulgated in 1947 as an ethical framework derived from the trial at which Blome was acquitted. Human experiments at Edgewood with Nazi nerve agent formulas began the same year."

PART V

The Factory and the Cartel

In the spring of 1941, an IG Farben chemist named Otto Ambros traveled to a small Polish town called Oswiecim — Auschwitz in German — and selected the site for what would become the largest chemical manufacturing complex in occupied Europe. The selection criteria were straightforward: proximity to coal and water, good rail connections, and access to what the company referred to in its internal documents as 'labor from the camp.' Approximately twelve thousand prisoners from the Auschwitz concentration camp worked at the IG Farben facility at Monowitz — Auschwitz III — under conditions the SS charged IG Farben three Reichsmarks per day per unskilled worker, four per hour for skilled labor, and one and a half per child.

Ambros directed not only the Monowitz facility but also the IG Farben plant at Dyhernfurth near Breslau, which began producing the nerve agent Tabun in May 1942 and subsequently produced Sarin. The Auschwitz facility supplied chemical precursors to Dyhernfurth. Ambros was, in a literal sense, the manager of the industrial supply chain that ran from slave labor to nerve agents.

What has rarely been examined is the corporate structure that made Monowitz possible. The synthetic rubber — buna — that Ambros was producing at Auschwitz was manufactured using a process that IG Farben had developed in partnership with Standard Oil of New Jersey through a series of patent and technology-sharing agreements known as the Hague Agreement of 1939. In 1938, according to testimony before the Truman Committee by Assistant Attorney General Thurman Arnold, while the German government was refusing for military reasons to share buna rubber technology with the United States, Standard Oil was sending IG Farben information on American butyl rubber. Standard Oil had agreed, in exchange for IG Farben's monopoly position in the oil industry, to give Farben complete control over chemical patents including rubber. The cartel agreement prevented American development of synthetic rubber until Congressional pressure forced its renegotiation after Pearl Harbor.

SOURCE: TRUMAN COMMITTEE TESTIMONY, 1942 / THURMAN ARNOLD, ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

While the Hitler government for military reasons was refusing to make available to this country the German buna rubber, Standard Oil sent to IG Farben information as to the American butyl rubber. -- Congressional testimony, 1942.

At the Nuremberg IG Farben Trial in 1948, Ambros was convicted on the slave labor count and sentenced to eight years. He served three. The man who released him was John J. McCloy.

PART VI

The Clemency Architect

John J. McCloy arrived in occupied Germany in 1949 as United States High Commissioner with a set of institutional roles that would be unusual in any era. He was simultaneously the president of the Ford Foundation — the same foundation that the CIA would use as its primary conduit for covert funding of European political organizations throughout the 1950s. He was a future chairman of the Rockefeller family's Chase Manhattan Bank. He was a member of what would become the Warren Commission. He was, in short, the nexus through which American foreign policy, corporate power, intelligence operations, and the management of postwar Germany all passed through a single pair of hands.

In January 1951, McCloy made what is known as the Landsberg clemency decisions. Of the one hundred and four defendants convicted at the Nuremberg Military Tribunals, seventy-four had their sentences reduced. Ten death sentences were commuted. Among those released was Otto Ambros. So was Friedrich Flick, the industrialist who became the richest person in Germany during the Cold War. So were senior IG Farben

executives whose companies would be reorganized into Bayer, BASF, and Hoechst — the pharmaceutical corporations that now dominate the global market for cancer drugs derived from the same mustard chemistry that Zinsser had industrialized at Edgewood Hastings in 1918.

The mechanism of the clemency decisions is documented. Adenauer's chief advisers on West German rearmament — General Adolf Heusinger and General Hans Speidel — communicated to McCloy that German willingness to contribute to the defense of the West might depend on American willingness to free the Nuremberg convicts. Heusinger was, at the moment of this communication, simultaneously serving as chief of evaluation for the Gehlen Organization under the alias 'Adolf Horn.' He would go on to become Inspector General of the new West German Bundeswehr in 1957 and Chairman of the NATO Military Committee in 1961 — the man who had planned Hitler's invasions of Poland, Denmark, Norway, France, and the Soviet Union presiding over the military structure of the Western Alliance.

After his release from Landsberg, Ambros became an economic adviser to Chancellor Adenauer and to Friedrich Flick. He became a consultant to Dow Chemical and to W.R. Grace. He became a consultant to the United States Army Chemical Corps — the same institution that had recruited Kurt Blome forty-two days after his Nuremberg acquittal, the same institution running human experiments at Edgewood Arsenal with the Nazi nerve agent formulas its researchers had seized in 1945.

In the late 1950s, Ambros became chairman of the scientific advisory board of a West German pharmaceutical company called Chemie Grunenthal. Grunenthal was preparing to market a new sedative to pregnant women experiencing morning sickness. The drug was thalidomide. Between 1957 and 1961, an estimated eight thousand to ten thousand children were born with severe limb deformities as a result of their mothers' use of the drug. Approximately forty percent did not survive infancy.

"The former head of IG Farben's nerve agent and slave labor operations at Auschwitz, released by McCloy in 1951, was, a decade later, the senior scientific adviser of the company responsible for the largest pharmaceutical disaster in postwar European history."

PART VII

The Argentine File

In July 1953, the CIA's Western Hemisphere Division completed a fifty-seven-page classified analysis of German Nationalist and Neo-Nazi Activities in Argentina. The document's case number was K-10046. It was prepared for the WH Division. It was classified Secret Security Information. It was declassified in April 2000, but twenty-eight pages of annexes — including a list of Former Gestapo Officers in Argentina compiled in 1949 and

a description of the Wiedemann Intelligence Network as of 1953 — remain withheld.

What the document shows, with the specificity of an organization that had been tracking these networks for a decade, is that the CIA in July 1953 knew the precise escape infrastructure that had brought hundreds of Nazi officials to Argentina, the organizational structures through which they were communicating, the financial networks sustaining them, and the specific individuals coordinating between the Argentine exile community and the neo-Nazi resurgence in West Germany.

The document names Carlos Fultner — also identified as Fuldner — as an SS leader who in 1948 became a member of the Argentine Immigration Delegation for Europe, stationed in Genoa, from which position 'he was able to help many Wehrmacht, Gestapo, and Waffen-SS veterans to enter Argentina.' With funds provided by Ludwig Freude — the Argentine financier whom the CIA identifies as the foremost Nazi collaborator in the Argentine business community — Fultner traveled to Spain to facilitate the same passage for additional personnel.

Carlos Fuldner is the man who processed Adolf Eichmann's emigration to Argentina in 1950. Eichmann traveled under the name Ricardo Klement, using a false Red Cross travel document obtained through the ratline organized by Bishop Alois Hudal in Rome. The CIA document of July 1953 names Hudal explicitly, describing him as a German bishop in Rome 'known as the Brown Bishop because of his marked sympathy for the Nazi movement' who protected and concealed fleeing Nazis, and whose transit network extended to Beirut, Damascus, Spain, and South America. The CIA was tracking the precise mechanism — Hudal's Roman operation, Fultner's Genoa processing, Freude's Argentine financing — that Eichmann had used three years before this document was written.

SOURCE: CIA DOCUMENT K-10046, JULY 8, 1953 — WH DIVISION

Argentine-born SS leader FULTNER was made a member of the Argentine Immigration Delegation for Europe, stationed in Genoa. From this key position he was able to help many Wehrmacht, Gestapo, and Waffen-SS veterans to enter Argentina. With funds allegedly provided by Ludwig Freude... he made a trip to Spain for the same purpose.

The Man Who Interviewed Eichmann

Among the employees of a Buenos Aires company called Compania Argentina para Proyectos y Realizaciones Industriales — CAPRI — the CIA document of July 1953 names a man identified as 'Guenther SASSEN, alias Steven WEIL, who was a leader in the SS Niederlande Division.' The document connects Sassen to Hans-Ulrich Rudel, the former Luftwaffe ace who the CIA separately identifies as traveling between Buenos Aires, Madrid, Cairo, Munich, Vienna, Dublin, and Paris in coordination with Otto Skorzeny.

Between 1957 and 1960, Guenther Sassen conducted sixty-seven recorded interview sessions with Adolf Eichmann at Eichmann's home in the San Fernando suburb of Buenos Aires. The transcripts — six hundred and ninety-five pages — became known as the Sassen interviews. They are Eichmann's most extensive recorded discussion of his role in the Holocaust. Portions were published in Life magazine in November and December

1960, after the Mossad had already seized Eichmann in May of that year.

The CIA in July 1953 had identified Guenther Sassen as a former SS officer and German intelligence agent embedded in the Argentine Nazi network, connected to Rudel and Skorzeny, operating through an industrial cover company in Buenos Aires. Within four years, Sassen would be sitting across a kitchen table from Adolf Eichmann, recording his testimony on reel-to-reel tape. The CIA's own organizational mapping placed Sassen in the same city, in the same network, three years before those interviews began.

To believe the official narrative — that Western intelligence had no knowledge of Eichmann's location before the Mossad's independent detective operation — one must believe that an agency capable of producing a fifty-seven-page analysis of the Argentine Nazi network, naming the escape route organizers, the financial backers, the travel bureau networks, the communication channels, and the specific individuals who would interview Eichmann — was simultaneously incapable of noting the presence, in the same city, of one of the most senior officials of the organization it was mapping.

PART VIII

The Lawyer's Secret

The documents Weber obtained through her 2008 litigation against the BND reveal something that changes the architecture of the entire Eichmann story. Robert Servatius — the German defense attorney who represented Eichmann at his Jerusalem trial in 1961, and who had previously represented Fritz Sauckel at the main Nuremberg trial — had been a paid informant of the BND since 1955. He reported regularly on his client's communications. He was, in the terminology of German intelligence, a V-Mann: a Vertrauensmann, a trusted man, a source.

Eichmann arrived in Argentina in 1950. The Gehlen Organization — the West German intelligence service funded by and reporting to the CIA, whose founder Reinhard Gehlen had been chief of the Wehrmacht's Foreign Armies East intelligence division — was formally constituted as the Bundesnachrichtendienst in 1956. From 1955, the year Servatius was recruited, through 1960, the year of the Mossad abduction, Eichmann's own legal counsel was filing reports to German intelligence on what his client was saying. The BND knew what Eichmann knew. The BND was Adenauer's instrument. Adenauer's chief of staff was Hans Globke.

A BND informant identified in the files as V-7396 reported receiving a call from the chief editor of the West German magazine *Revue*. The editorial office, the editor reported, had information that Eichmann in his discussions with Servatius had named the still-living co-responsible persons — among them Franz Six, Ernst Veessenmeyer, Franz Rademacher, and Hans Globke — who were, Eichmann had said, 'all no less responsible than he for executing orders.'

Franz Six was an SS Brigadeführer who commanded Vorkommando Moscow and was responsible for mass killings. He appears in the NARA files of the Naumann Circle — the documents from this research — as employed in Ernst Achenbach's 1951 war criminal amnesty lobbying operation alongside Werner Best. Veessenmeyer was State Secretary and Reich Plenipotentiary in Hungary, responsible for organizing the deportation of Hungarian Jews. Rademacher headed the Jewish Desk of the German Foreign Office. And Globke was the man sitting in the Chancellor's office, at Adenauer's right hand, while Eichmann was naming him to his BND-informant attorney.

"The BND knew, through Eichmann's own attorney, that Eichmann was naming Adenauer's Chief of Staff as co-responsible for the Holocaust. The Kanzleramt is now, sixty-six years later, blocking the release of the documents that record this."

The BND documents also reveal that Francois Genoud — the Swiss publisher who held the exploitation rights to the writings of Hitler, Goebbels, and Goering, and who was a known financial intermediary for the defense of Nazi war criminals — was negotiating with BND agents over the funding of Eichmann's defense costs. The Egyptian government, the documents indicate, was prepared to contribute. The money was to flow through Genoud. German intelligence — the agency of the West German state — was in active negotiation with the most significant financial facilitator of postwar Nazi networks over the funding of the defense of the man whose trial would, if properly conducted, have put Adenauer's chief of staff in the dock.

PART IX

The Permanent Infrastructure

The OSS document produced in March or April of 1945 — before the German surrender, classified Top Secret, published only in 1973 — described with remarkable precision the organizational structure that the RSHA had prepared for the post-defeat period. Fünftergruppen — Groups of Five — had been created throughout occupied Europe, each equipped with arms depots, forging equipment, printing plants, and radio communications, each operating on a territorial basis without knowledge of adjacent groups. Stay-behind networks had been established in the Rhineland, in Western France, in Denmark, in Romania, in Italy, in the Far East. Spain had been assigned as a long-term penetration base for France and the Western Hemisphere. 'Recently organized cadres under the direction of the well-known Otto Skorzeny, head of Sabotage and Subversion, are earmarked for the resistance,' the document stated.

The document was produced by William Donovan's Office of Strategic Services — the wartime predecessor to the CIA. Donovan, who would go on to chair the American Committee on United Europe — the CIA's primary funding conduit for European integration — was simultaneously, at the moment this document was produced,

serving as deputy prosecutor at the Nuremberg International Military Tribunal. He suggested to Franz Halder, the former Wehrmacht Chief of General Staff, the strategy known as the Generals' Memorandum, which the defense adopted at the High Command Trial to reduce the general staff's culpability. The strategy was adopted. Its author was the man who had written, before German surrender, the document naming Skorzeny and detailing the post-defeat network.

This is the architecture of what was not a Cold War improvisation but a planned strategy. The Doenitz final order — issued by Hitler's designated successor to the Nazi Officers Corps as his last command — made the strategic logic explicit: 'The political line we must follow is plain. It is clear that we have to go along with the Western Powers and work with them for it is only through working with them that we can have hopes of later retrieving our land... land that was German for a thousand years ... from the Russians.'

Adenauer co-founded Le Cercle Pinay with French Prime Minister Antoine Pinay and the shadowy French intelligence lawyer Jean Violet in 1952-1953 — precisely the years when the Naumann Circle was being broken up by British intelligence. Le Cercle linked the German BND, British MI6, French SDECE, the CIA, and the intelligence services of Belgium, Holland, Switzerland, and later Saudi Arabia and apartheid South Africa into a coordinated anti-Communist network. Franz Josef Strauss — Le Cercle co-founder — recruited Eberhard Taubert in 1958 for NATO psychological warfare operations. Taubert had been Goebbels' deputy in the Reich Propaganda Ministry. He had been Werner Naumann's contact through the Volksbund für Frieden und Freiheit, the front organization through which Naumann had connected to Skorzeny and Rudel in South America.

The Ford Foundation — whose president was John J. McCloy — funded the American Committee on United Europe to the tune of fifty-three percent of the European Movement's budget by 1958. The CIA paid for the equipment at the first Bilderberg meeting in 1954. The Ford Foundation donated twenty thousand pounds to Le Cercle in the 1970s. McCloy released Ambros, who advised Adenauer, who co-founded Le Cercle, which was funded by the Foundation that McCloy ran. This is not a conspiracy theory. These are the documented institutional connections of the men who built the postwar Western order.

PART X

The Missing Twenty-Eight Pages

The last page of the CIA's July 1953 Argentine network analysis bears a simple notation: 'Next 28 Page(s) In Document Exempt.' Those twenty-eight pages are the annexes. Among the items listed in the Table of Contents that do not appear in the released document: Annex C, item 5 — Former Gestapo Officers in Argentina, 1949. Annex C, item 9 — the Wiedemann Intelligence Network, 1953. Annex C, item 10 — the Von Luxberg Intelligence Network, 1945. These lists, compiled with the granularity that the fifty-seven released pages demonstrate, remain classified more than fifty years after their compilation.

The CIA document was declassified in April 2000 under the Nazi War Crimes Disclosure Act. The exempted pages were reviewed and withheld under national security exemptions. Whether the list of Former Gestapo Officers in Argentina compiled in 1949 — one year before Adolf Eichmann's arrival — contains his name or his alias is unknown. What is known is that the CIA was compiling precisely such lists, from precisely such sources, in precisely such detail, at precisely the time the question of Eichmann's whereabouts was supposedly unanswerable.

In Germany, the Bundesarchivgesetz — the Federal Archive Law — sets the maximum protection period for federal archive material at sixty years, with a thirty-year extension permitted only when it lies in the public interest, and a thirty-year base period for most material. The law does not provide for indefinite classification. It does not provide for the Chancellor's Office to override it by fiat. It does not provide for a specialized court panel to approve continued secrecy while working only from copies selected by the agency whose conduct is under review.

The Fachsenat — the specialized panel of judges the Bundesverwaltungsgericht convenes to review intelligence classification disputes — approved continued classification of BND Eichmann documents until at least 2042, for records from the 1950s, without citing the statutory paragraphs it was overriding. It did not see the originals. Its ruling was not subject to appeal by Weber or her counsel. The Bundesverwaltungsgericht's Tenth Senate in Leipzig was asked, on June 4, 2026, whether the ruling of the Fachsenat is binding on the main court, or whether a court of law must apply the actual text of the actual law.

Weber had made the constitutional stakes explicit in her published account of the litigation. 'If the court accepts this and declares the documents in question to require further secrecy,' she wrote, 'then in future torture can be legalized in the same way — because the same Wohl des Bundes argument could be used to override any constitutional prohibition.' The Kanzleramt's implicit claim — that the protection of intelligence structures from the Adenauer era outweighs the public's right to historical clarification about the Holocaust — is, Weber argued, not a legal position but a political one, dressed in procedural clothing.

CONCLUSION

The Loop That Does Not Close

Viktor Meyer synthesizes mustard gas at Heidelberg in 1886. His student Frederick Zinsser builds a factory producing seventy-five tons per day at Edgewood Hastings in 1918. The Edgewood Arsenal becomes the permanent hub of American chemical warfare research. Classified observations of mustard casualties at Bari in 1943, combined with classified Yale research on nitrogen mustard, produce the first chemotherapy drug — knowledge derived from a weapon, classified for four years, laundered through the institutional biography of a man who claimed to have experimented on Puerto Rican patients without consent and was cleared by a public relations campaign run by the same Rockefeller network that funded the Kaiser Wilhelm Institute for Nazi

eugenics research.

In parallel: IG Farben runs Auschwitz-Monowitz on slave labor within a patent-sharing cartel with Standard Oil of New Jersey that prevented American synthetic rubber production until Congressional pressure forced its renegotiation. IG Farben's Dyhernfurth plant, run by Otto Ambros, produces Sarin and Tabun. The Nazi biological warfare program runs under the cover of cancer research, mirroring the Allied arrangement at Edgewood with uncanny precision. Both sides use medicine as the institutional language of war.

Nuremberg convicts Ambros. Nuremberg acquits Blome. Within forty-two days of his acquittal, Blome is contacted by the US Army Chemical Corps. Within three years of his conviction, Ambros is released by McCloy on the leveraged recommendation of Heusinger — the Wehrmacht Operations Chief who planned the invasions of Poland, Denmark, Norway, France, and the Soviet Union, who is simultaneously serving as deputy chief of the Gehlen Organization under the alias Adolf Horn, and who will become the first Chairman of the NATO Military Committee. The Nuremberg Doctors' Code, promulgated to prevent the repetition of what Blome did, is violated at Edgewood Arsenal beginning the same year it is written.

Adenauer's West Germany rebuilds through the successor companies of IG Farben — BASF, Bayer, Hoechst — employing former IG Farben chemists, manufacturing pharmaceuticals including chemotherapy agents derived from the same mustard chemistry that runs back to Heidelberg in 1886. Adenauer's chief of staff is the man who annotated the Nuremberg racial laws. Adenauer's economic adviser is the man who ran Auschwitz-Monowitz and Dyhernfurth. Adenauer co-founds Le Cercle Pinay, which links Western intelligence services in a coordinated network funded through the Ford Foundation, which is run by McCloy.

The CIA in July 1953 produces a fifty-seven-page analysis of the Argentine Nazi network, naming Fultner — who processed Eichmann's immigration — and Hudal — who provided his documents — and Sassen — who will interview him on tape — and the entire organizational structure within which Ricardo Klement is living quietly in San Fernando. The BND from 1955 has Eichmann's own lawyer on its payroll, reporting his client's statements naming Globke. The Mossad captures Eichmann in May 1960. The Jerusalem trial is confined, in its evidentiary scope, to Eichmann's personal role, leaving the still-living co-responsible officials — Globke above all — unaddressed. Globke retires from the Chancellery in October 1963, the month Kennedy is assassinated and two months before Adenauer leaves office.

In June 2026, the German Federal Government is in court in Leipzig to prevent the release of the BND files that would document what German intelligence knew, when it knew it, and what the Adenauer government chose to do with that knowledge. The lawyer who filed the lawsuit died one week before the hearing. The Fachsenat approved classification until at least 2042 without citing the law it was overriding. The twenty-eight pages of the CIA's Argentine network analysis — including the 1949 list of Former Gestapo Officers in Argentina — remain exempt from release in Washington.

What Germany is protecting is not a source or a method. It is a story. The story of what the Adenauer government knew about Eichmann, what it chose to do

with that knowledge, and why the man Eichmann named as co-responsible died in his bed in 1973 as one of the most powerful officials in postwar West German history.

This is the loop that does not close. It begins with a chemistry professor synthesizing a weapon in Heidelberg in 1886. It runs through industrial production, classified medicine, slave labor, nerve agents, Nuremberg trials managed from within, clemency decisions leveraged by men who planned invasions, scientists who ran death factories advising the chancellors who rebuilt the country that commissioned those factories, intelligence services that paid the lawyers of war criminals to report on their clients, and courts in 2026 deciding whether the law means what it says.

The permitted history has a final chapter. It ends with accountability, with the rule of law, with democratic institutions functioning as designed. It ends, perhaps, with a Leipzig courtroom delivering a verdict that forces open the files. The other history has a different sense of how this ends. The OSS document noted in 1945 that secrecy is taken purposely to avoid the impression that would arise if the plans became generally known. The men who wrote that sentence understood something about the public that official history cannot acknowledge: that the public, once seeing the full picture, would not remain willing. The secrecy is not bureaucratic inertia. It is a judgment about what the public would do with the truth.

The documents in this investigation are the full picture. The twenty-eight exempt pages of the CIA's 1953 Argentine network analysis are part of it. The BND files a German court was asked on June 4, 2026 to release are part of it. What they show, collectively, is not a story about a defeated enemy who did not entirely surrender. It is a story about power arranging itself on both sides of a war, using the war's outcome as cover, and then spending the next eight decades ensuring that the arrangement remained the other history. The one that was not permitted. The one that is not, yet, in the textbooks. The one you were not supposed to read.

PRIMARY SOURCE DOCUMENTS

Documentary Record

DECLASSIFIED US INTELLIGENCE

CIA-RDP62-00865R000300030004-4: 'German Nationalist and Neo-Nazi Activities in Argentina.' SECRET SECURITY INFORMATION. Case K-10046. WH Division. Completed July 8, 1953. Approved for Release April 11, 2000. 28 pages of annexes remain exempt.

FDR Library / OSS: 'Future Plans of the German Intelligence Service.' TOP SECRET. March/April 1945. Published 1973. Source: PSF000813. Names Skorzeny; details RSHA post-defeat bureau structure; Fünftergruppen; stay-behind networks across Europe and the Far East.

NARA FO 371/103910: Impounded Naumann Circle documents. Subject: Dr. Karl Friedrich Bornemann — Contacts Abroad. March 18, 1953.

NARA FO 371/103902: Skorzeny to Naumann correspondence. November 20 and December 13, 1952. Subject: Dr. Werner Naumann and Dr. Karl Friedrich Bornemann — Impounded Documents, February 2, 1953.

NARA FO 371/109564: White Paper, April 14, 1954. 'The Naumann-Circle — The Study of a Technique in Political Subversion.' TOP SECRET.

GERMAN INTELLIGENCE FILES

BND Eichmann files (partial release): 'Eichmann-Akten des BND.' Released ca. 80% through Weber litigation (2008-2020). Remaining files blocked by Kanzleramt Sperrerklärung. F-Senat ruling approves secrecy until at least 2042. Hearing: Bundesverwaltungsgericht Leipzig, 10th Senate, June 4, 2026.

April 12, 1951 intelligence report on Werner Naumann. Source: Business manager, FDP regional headquarters, Lower Saxony. Details Naumann's underground period, legalization through French occupation channels, and contacts with Skorzeny, Taubert, and Argentina network.

INVESTIGATIVE JOURNALISM / ANALYSIS

Weber, Gaby. 'BND-Akten zur Festnahme von Adolf Eichmann 1960 in Argentinien auf ewig geheim?' Overton Magazin, June 2, 2026. URL: overton-magazin.de

Weber, Gaby. 'Eichmann und Globke: Der Schauprozess in Jerusalem.' Overton Magazin. URL: overton-magazin.de

Jacobsen, Annie. Operation Paperclip: The Secret Intelligence Program That Brought Nazi Scientists to America. Little, Brown, 2014.

Borkin, Joseph. The Crime and Punishment of I.G. Farben. Free Press, 1978.

CONGRESSIONAL AND LEGAL RECORD

Truman Committee Hearings, 1942. Testimony of Assistant Attorney General Thurman Arnold on Standard Oil — IG Farben cartel agreements.

US Senate, Advisory Committee on Human Radiation Experiments (ACHRE). Final Report. Washington: US Government Printing Office, 1995.

Congressional hearings on Edgewood Arsenal human experiments, 1974-1975. Disclosure: 6,720 soldiers tested with 254 chemicals, 1950-1975.

Bundesarchivgesetz (BArchG). Section 11 (Protection Periods), Section 12 (Extension provisions). Maximum 60-year classification period without citation of overriding statutory authority.

HISTORICAL DOCUMENTS

Goodman, Louis S. and Gilman, Alfred. 'Nitrogen Mustard Therapy.' *Journal of the American Medical Association*, vol. 132, 1946.
[Classification lifted 1946; first clinical trial conducted 1942 under wartime secrecy as 'Substance X.']

Doenitz, Karl. Final Order of the Day to the Nazi Officers Corps. May 1945. Quoted in: Heusinger of the Fourth Reich (page 292): '...we have to go along with the Western Powers and work with them for it is only through working with them that we can have hopes of later retrieving our land... from the Russians.'

Nuremberg Military Tribunal, Case VI: United States v. Carl Krauch et al. [The IG Farben Trial]. 1947-1948. Conviction of Ambros on slave-labor count; sentence: 8 years.

Nuremberg Military Tribunal, Case I: United States v. Karl Brandt et al. [The Doctors' Trial]. 1946-1947. Acquittal of Kurt Blome. Promulgation of Nuremberg Doctors' Code, 1947.

This document was compiled from primary source materials for historical research and archival purposes. All factual claims are documented from the sources cited. The investigative synthesis does not represent the position of any intelligence agency, government, or institution.

Compiled: June 2026. All documents cited are in the public record or in active litigation for public release. The twenty-eight exempt pages of CIA-RDP62-00865R000300030004-4 and the remaining BND Eichmann files represent the outer boundary of what is currently knowable from declassified sources.